

## CHILDREN BROUGHT TO CHRIST, NOT TO THE FONT

### NO. 581

DELIVERED ON SUNDAY MORNING, JULY 24, 1864,  
 BY THE REV. C. H. SPURGEON,  
 AT THE METROPOLITAN TABERNACLE, NEWINGTON.

*“And they brought young children to Him, that He should touch them and His disciples rebuked those that brought them. But when Jesus saw it, He was much displeased and said unto them, Suffer the little children to come unto Me and forbid them not: for of such is the kingdom of God. Verily I say unto you, whoever shall not receive the kingdom of God as a little child, he shall not enter it. And He took them up in His arms, put His hands upon them, and blessed them.”*  
*Mark 10:13-16.*

MY attention has been especially directed to this passage by the fact that it has been quoted against me by most of the authors of those sermons and letters which are, by a stretch of imagination called “replies,” to my sermon upon “Baptismal Regeneration” (#573). Replies they certainly are *not*, except to one another. I marvel that a Church so learned as the Anglican cannot produce something a little more worthy of the point in hand. The various authors may possibly have read my discourse but by reason of mental absorption in other meditations, or perhaps through the natural disturbance of mind caused by guilty consciences, they have talked with confusion of words and have only been successful in refuting themselves and answering one another.

They must have been aiming at something far removed from my sermon or else I must give them credit for being the worst shots that ever practiced with polemical artillery. They do not so much as touch the target in its extreme corners, much less in its center! The whole question is, Do you believe that Baptism regenerates? If so—prove that your belief is Scriptural! Do you believe that Baptism does *not* regenerate? Then justify your swearing that it does! Who will reply to this? He shall merit and bear the palm.

The Scripture before us is by several of the champions on the *other* side exhibited to the people as a rebuke to me. Their reasoning is rather ingenious than forcible—indeed, because the disciples incurred the displeasure of Jesus Christ by keeping back the little children from coming to *Him*—therefore Jesus Christ is greatly displeased with *me* and with all others like me, for keeping children from *the font* and the performance there enacted! And especially displeased with me for exposing the Anglican doctrine of Baptismal Regeneration!

Observe the reasoning—because Jesus was much displeased with disciples for hindering parents from seeking a blessing upon their children, therefore He is much displeased with us who do not believe in godfathers and godmothers, or the signing of the cross on the infant brow. I must say at the outset that this is rather a leap of an argument and would not ordinarily be thought conclusive. But this we may readily overlook since we have long ceased to hope for reasonable arguments from those who support a cause based upon absurdity.

My Brethren, I concluded that there must be something forcible in such a text as this or my opponents would not be so eager to secure it. I have therefore carefully looked at it and as I have viewed it, it has opened up to me with a sacred splendor of Divine Grace! In this incident the very heart of Christ is published to poor sinners and we may clearly perceive the freeness and the fullness of the mighty Grace of the Redeemer of men who is willing to receive the youngest child as well as the oldest man. And He *is* greatly displeased with any who would keep back seeking souls from coming to Him, or loving hearts from bringing others to receive His blessing.

**I.** In handling this text in what I believe to be its true light, I shall commence first of all, by observing that THIS TEXT HAS NOT THE SHADOW OF A GHOST OF A CONNECTION WITH BAPTISM. There is no line of connection as substantial as a spider’s web between this incident and Baptism, or at least my imagination is not vivid enough to conceive one. This I will prove to you, if you will follow me for a moment.

It is very clear, Dear Friends, that *these young children were NOT brought to Jesus Christ by their friends to be baptized*. “They brought young children to Him, that He should touch them,” says Mark. Matthew describes the children as being brought, “that He would put His hands on them and pray.” There is not a hint about their being *baptized*. No godfathers or godmothers had been provided and no sign of the cross was requested. Surely the parents themselves knew tolerably well what it was they desired, and they would not have expressed themselves so dubiously as to ask Him to touch them when they meant that He should baptize them! The parents evidently had no thought of regeneration by Baptism and brought the children for quite another reason.

In the next place, *if they brought the children to Jesus Christ to be baptized, they brought them to the wrong Person*. The Evangelist, John, in the fourth chapter and the second verse, expressly assures us that Jesus Christ baptized not, but His disciples—this settles the question once and for all, and proves beyond all dispute that there is no connection between this incident and Baptism. But you will say, “*Perhaps they brought the children to be baptized by the disciples.*” Brethren, the disciples were not in the habit of baptizing infants and this is clear from the case in hand. If they had been in the habit of baptizing infants, would they have rebuked the parents for bringing them? If it had been a customary thing for parents to bring children with such an intent, would the disciples, who had been in the constant habit of performing the ceremony, have rebuked them for attending to it?

Would any Church clergyman rebuke parents for bringing their children to be baptized? If he did so, he would act absurdly contrary to his own views and practice. And we cannot, therefore, imagine that if infant baptism had been the accepted practice, the disciples could have acted so absurdly as to rebuke the parents for bringing their little ones. It is obvious that such could not have been the practice of the disciples who were rebuked. Moreover, and here is an argument which seems to me to have great force in it, *when Jesus Christ rebuked His disciples, then was the time, if ever in His life, to have openly spoken concerning infant baptism, godfathers and godmothers and the whole affair*.

If He wished to rebuke His disciples most effectually, how could He have done it better than by saying, “Why keep these children back? I have ordained that they shall be baptized. I have expressly commanded that they shall be regenerated and made members of My body in Baptism. How dare you, then, in opposition to My will, keep them back?” But no, dear Friends, our Savior never said a word about, “the laver of regeneration,” or, “the quickening dew,” when He rebuked them—not a single sentence!

Had He done so, the time would have been most appropriate if it had been His intention to teach the practice. In the whole of His life there is no period in which a discourse upon infant regeneration in Baptism could have been more appropriate than on this occasion—and yet not a single sentence about it comes from the Savior’s lips. To silence all—*Jesus Christ did not baptize the children*. Our Evangelist does not inform us that He exclaimed, “Where are the godfathers and godmothers?” It is not recorded that He called for a font, or a Prayer Book! No. But, “He took them up in His arms, put His hands upon them, and blessed them.” He then dismissed them without a drop of the purifying element.

Now, if this event had any connection with Baptism whatever, it was the most appropriate occasion for infant baptism to have been practiced. Why, it would have ended forever the controversy! There may be some men in the world who would have raised the question of engrafting infants into the body of Christ’s Church by Baptism after all this, but I am certain no honest man would have done so who reverently accepted Christ as His spiritual leader. I, my Brethren, would sooner be dumb than speak a single word against an ordinance which Christ Himself instituted and practiced.

And if on this occasion He had but sprinkled one of these infants, given him a Christian name, signed him with a cross, accepted the vows of his godparents and thanked God for his regeneration, then the question would have been settled forever! And some of us would have been saved a world of abuse—besides escaping no end of mistakes for which we are condemned, in the judgment of many good people for whom we have some affection—though for their judgment we have no respect.

So you see the parents did not ask for baptismal regeneration. Christ did not personally baptize. The disciples were not in the habit of baptizing infants or else they would not have rebuked the parents. Christ did not speak about Baptism on the occasion and He did not baptize the little ones. I will put a case to you which may exhibit the weakness of my opponents’ position. Suppose a denomination should rise up which should teach that babes should be allowed to partake at the Lord’s Table. Such teaching could plead precedents of great antiquity, for you are aware that at one period infant

communion was allowed and logically, too—for if an infant has a right to Baptism, it has a right to come to the Lord’s Table.

For years children were brought to the Lord’s Table, but rather inconvenient accidents occurred and therefore the thing was dropped as being unseemly. But if some one should revive the error and try to prove that infants are to come to the Lord’s Supper, he might prove it from this passage quite as clearly as our friends can prove infant baptism from it. Moreover do not forget that even if infant baptism could be proved from this text, the ceremony prescribed in the Prayer Book is quite as far from being established. Whether the Baptism of infants may or may not be proven from other Scriptures I cannot now stay to enquire, but even if it can be, what are we to say for godfathers or godmothers, or the assertion that in Baptism children are made “members of Christ, children of God and inheritors of the kingdom of Heaven”?

Truly I might as well prove vaccination from the text before me, as the performance which the Prayer Book calls “infant baptism”! I do not hesitate to say that I could prove *any* earthly thing, if I might but have such reasoning granted to me as that which proved infant baptism from this passage. There is no possible connection between the two. The teaching of the passage is very plain and very clear, and Baptism has been imported into it and not found in it. As a quaint writer has well said, “These doctrines are raised from the text as our collectors raise a tax upon indigent, unsolvent people—by coming armed with the law and a constable to seize and hold that which is not to be had. Certainly never was a text so strained and distrained to pay what it never owed! Never man so racked to confess what he never thought! Never was a pumice stone so squeezed for water which it never held!”

Still hundreds will catch at this straw and cry, “Did not Jesus say, ‘Suffer the little children to come unto Me?’” To these we give this one word—see that you read the Word as it is written and you will find no water in it but Jesus only. Are the *water* and Christ the same thing? Is bringing a child to a font bringing the child to Christ? No. There is a wide difference, as wide as between Rome and Jerusalem, as wide as between Antichrist and Christ, between false doctrine and the Gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ!

**II.** Now, for our second and much more pleasing task. WHY, THEN, WAS JESUS CHRIST DISPLEASED? Read the passage and at once the answer comes to you. He was displeased with His disciples for two reasons—first, *because they discouraged those who would bring others to Him. And secondly, because they discouraged those who themselves were anxious to come to Him.*

They did not discourage those who were coming to a *font*—they discouraged those who were coming to *Jesus*. There is a mighty distinction ever to be held between the font and Christ—between the sprinkling of the priest and living faith in the Lord Jesus Christ! First, *His disciples discouraged those who would bring others to Him.* This is a great sin and wherever it is committed Jesus Christ is greatly displeased, for a true desire to see others saved is worked in the Believer by God the Holy Spirit who thus renders the called ones the means of bringing wandering sheep into the fold. In this case they discouraged those who would bring *children* to Him to be blessed.

How can we bring children to Jesus Christ to be blessed? We cannot do it in a corporeal sense, for Jesus is not here, “He is risen.” But we can bring our children in a true, real, and *spiritual* sense. We take them up in the arms of our *prayers*. I hope many of us, as soon as our children saw the light, if not before, presented them to God with this anxious prayer that they might sooner die than live to disgrace their father’s God. We only desired children that we might in them live over again another life of service to God. And when we looked into their young faces we never asked wealth for them, nor fame, nor anything else but that they might be dear unto God and that their names might be written in the Lamb’s Book of Life. We did, then, bring our children to Christ as far as we could do it—by presenting them before God by earnest prayer on their behalf.

And have we ceased to bring them to Christ? No, I hope we seldom bow the knee without praying for our children. Our daily cry is, “O, that they might live before You!” God knows that nothing would give us more joy than to see evidence of their conversion. Our souls would almost leap out of our bodies with joy if we could but know that they were the children of the living God! Nor has this privilege been denied to us, for there are some here who can rejoice in a converted household. Truly we can say with the Apostle Paul, “I have no greater joy than this, that my children walk in the Truth of God.” We continue, therefore, to bring them to Christ by daily, constant, earnest prayer on their behalf.

So soon as they become of years capable of understanding the things of God, we endeavor to bring them to Christ by *teaching them the Truth of God.* Hence our Sunday schools. The use of the Bible and family prayer and catechizing at

home. Any person who shall forbid us to pray for our children will incur Christ's high displeasure. And any who shall say, "Do not teach your children. They will be converted in God's own time if it is His purpose, therefore leave them to run wild in the streets," will certainly both "sin against the child" and the Lord Jesus!

We might as well say, "If that piece of ground is to grow a harvest, it will do so if it is God's good pleasure. Therefore leave it and let the weeds spring up and cover it—do not endeavor for a moment to kill the weeds, or to sow the good seed." Why such reasoning as this would be not only cruel to our children, but grievously displeasing to Christ! Parents, I do hope you are all endeavoring to bring your children to Christ by teaching them the things of God. Let them not be strangers to the plan of salvation. Never let it be said that a child of yours reached years in which his conscience could act and he could judge between good and evil without knowing the doctrine of the Atonement, without understanding the great Substitutionary work of Christ.

Set before your child life and death, Hell and Heaven, judgment and mercy—his own sin and Christ's most precious blood. And as you set these before him, labor with him, persuade him as the Apostle did his congregation with tears and weeping, to turn unto the Lord. And your prayers and supplications shall be heard so that the Spirit of God shall bring them to Jesus. How much more like the Scripture will such labors be than if you were to sing the following very pretty verse which disfigures Roundell Palmer's "Book of Praise"—

*"Though your conception was in sin,  
A sacred bathing you have had.  
And though your birth unclean has been,  
A blameless babe you now are made.  
Sweet baby, then forbear to weep.  
Be still, my dear, sweet baby, sleep."*

I cannot tell you how much I owe to the solemn words of my good mother. It was the custom on Sunday evenings, while we were yet little children, for her to stay at home with us and then we sat round the table and read verse by verse, and she explained the Scripture to us. After that was done, then came the time of pleading. There was a little piece of "Alleyn's Alarm," or of Baxter's, "Call to the Unconverted"—and this was read with pointed observations made to each of us as we sat round the table. And the question was asked how long it would be before we would think about our state, how long before we would seek the Lord. Then came a mother's prayer and some of the words of a mother's prayer we shall never forget, even when our hair is gray.

I remember on one occasion her praying thus—"Now, Lord, if my children go on in their sins, it will not be from ignorance that they perish and my soul must bear a swift witness against them at the Day of Judgment if they lay not hold of Christ." That thought of a mother's bearing swift witness against me pierced my conscience and stirred my heart. This pleading with them for God and with God for them is the true way to bring children to Christ. Sunday school teachers! You have a high and noble work, press forward in it. In our schools you do not try to bring children to the baptistery for regeneration—you point them away from ceremonies. If I know the teachers of this school aright, I know you are trying to bring your classes to Christ. Let Christ be the sum and substance of your teaching in the school!

Young men and young women, in your classes lift up Christ—lift Him up on high. And if anybody shall say to you, "Why do you talk thus to the children?" you can say, "Because my soul yearns towards them and I pant for their conversion." And if any should afterwards object, you can remember that Jesus is greatly displeased with *them* and *not* with *you*, for you only obey the injunction, "Feed My lambs."

The case in our text is that of children, but objectors rise up who disapprove of endeavors to bring any sort of people to Christ by faith and prayer. There are some who spend their nights in the streets seeking after the poor harlot and I have heard many harsh observations made about their work. Some will say it is ridiculous to expect that any of those who have spent their days in debauchery should be converted. We are told that the most of those who are taken into the refuges go back and become as depraved as ever. I believe that to be a very sad and solemn truth. But I also believe if I or anyone else shall urge that or anything else as a reason why my Brethren should *not* seek the harlot, that Jesus would be greatly displeased!

Any man who stands between a soul-seeker and the Divine Object of getting a blessing for the sinner's soul excites the wrath of Christ. Some have hopes of our convicts and criminals. But every now and then there is an outcry against those who even believe it possible for a transport or a ticket-of-leave man to be converted. But Jesus is greatly displeased with

any who shall say about the work, “It is too hard. It is impossible.” My Brethren in Christ, labor for souls of all sorts—for your children and for those who are past the threescore years and ten. Seek out the drunkard! Go after the thief! Despise not the poor down-trod slave! Let every race, let every color, let every age, let every profession, let every nation be the object of your soul’s prayers!

You live in this world, I hope, to bring souls to Jesus! You are Christ’s magnets with which through His Holy Spirit He will attract hearts of steel. You are His heralds—you are to invite wanderers to come to the banquet! You are His messengers—you are to compel them to come in that His house may be filled! And if the devil tells you that you will not succeed, and if the world tells you that you are too feeble and have not talent enough, never mind—Jesus would be greatly displeased with you if you should take any heed to them. And meanwhile He is greatly displeased with your adversaries for endeavoring to stop you. Beloved, this is why Jesus Christ was greatly displeased.

A second ground of displeasure must be noticed. These children, it strikes me and I think there is good reason for the belief, *themselves desired to come to Christ to obtain a blessing*. They are called “little children,” which term does not necessarily involve their being infants of six months or a year. Indeed, it is clear, as I will show in a moment, that they were not such little children as to be unconscious babes. They were “infants,” according to our version of Luke, but then you know the English word, “infant,” includes a considerable range of age. Every person in his minority is legally considered to be an infant though he may be able to talk to any amount. We do not, however, desire to translate the text with so great a license. There is no necessity in the language used that these should have been anything but what they are said to be—“little children.”

It is evident they could walk, because in Luke it is said, “Jesus *called* them”—the gender of the Greek pronoun used there refers it to the *children*, not to the persons, nor to the disciples. Jesus called them. He called the children, which He would hardly have done if they could not comprehend His call. And He said, “Suffer the little children to come,” which implies that they *could* come and doubtless they *did* come with cheerful faces, expecting to get the blessing. These, perhaps, may have been some of those very children, who, a short time after, pulled down branches from the trees and strewed them in the way and cried, “Hosanna,” when the Savior said, “Out of the mouths of babes and sucklings have You ordained strength.”

Now Christ was greatly displeased with His disciples for pushing back these boys and girls. They did, as some old folks do nowadays who cry out—“Stand back, you boys and girls! We do not want you here! We do not want children to fill up the place—we only want grown-up people.” They pushed them back. They thought that Christ would have too much to do if He attended to the juveniles. Here comes out this principle that we must expect Christ’s displeasure if we attempt to keep *anybody* back from coming to Christ, even though it is the youngest child.

You ask how persons can come to Christ now? They cannot come corporeally, but they can come by simple prayer and humble faith. Faith is the way to Jesus—Baptism is not. When Jesus says, “Come *unto Me* all you that labor and are heavy laden,” He did not mean, “be baptized,” did He? No. And so when He said, “Suffer the little children to come unto Me,” He did not mean, “Baptize them,” did He? Coming to Jesus Christ is quite a different thing from coming to a *font*. Coming to Christ means laying hold upon Christ with the hand of faith—looking to Him for my life, my pardon, my salvation, my everything!

If there is a poor little child here who is saying in her little heart, or his little heart, “I would like to come to Christ, O that I might be pardoned while I am yet a little one”—come, little Lamb! Come and welcome! Did I hear your cry? Was it this?—

*“Gentle Jesus, meek and mild,  
Look upon a little child.  
Pity my simplicity,  
Suffer me to come to You.”*

Dear little one, Jesus will not despise your lisping, nor will His servant keep you back. Jesus calls you! Come and receive His blessing. If any of you say a word to keep the young heart back, Jesus will be displeased with you.

Now I am afraid some do that. Those, for instance, who think that the Gospel is not for little children. Many of my Brethren, I am sorry to say, preach in such a way that there is no hope of children ever getting any good by their preaching. I cannot glory in learning or eloquence—but in this one thing I may rejoice—that there is always a number of happy children here who are quite as attentive as any of my audience. I do love to think that the Gospel is suitable to lit-

tle children. There are boys and girls in many of our Sunday school classes down below stairs who are as truly converted to God as any of us.

Yes, and if you were to speak with them about the things of God, though you should get to the knotty points of election and predestination, you would find those boys and girls well taught in the things of the kingdom. They know free will from Free Grace and you cannot puzzle them when you come to talk about the work of Jesus and the work of the Spirit, for they can discern between things which differ. But a minister who preaches as though he never wanted to bring children to Christ and shoots right over the little one's heads—I do think Jesus is displeased with him.

Then there are others who doubt whether children ever will be converted. They do not look upon it as a thing likely to happen and whenever they hear of a believing child, they hold up their hands at the prodigy and say, "What a wonder of Grace!" It ought to be—but in those Churches where the Gospel is simply preached it is as *common* a thing for children to be converted as for grown-up people to be brought to Christ! Others begin to doubt the truth of juvenile conversions. They say, "They are very young. Can they understand the Gospel? Is it not merely an infantile emotion, a mere profession?" My Brethren, you have no more right to suspect the sincerity of the young than to mistrust the gray-headed. You ought to receive them with the same open-hearted confidence with which you receive others when they profess to have found the Savior!

Do, I pray you, whenever you see the faintest desire in your children, go down on your knees as your servant does when the fire is almost out and blow the spark with your own breath—seek by prayer to fan that spark to a flame! Do not despise any godly remark the child may make. Do not puff the child up on account of the goodness of the remark, lest you make him vain and so injure him, but do encourage him! Let his first little prayers be noticed by you. Though you may not like to teach him a form of prayer—I shall not care if you do *not*—yet teach him what prayer *is*. Tell him to express his desires in his own words and when he does so, join in it and plead with God on his behalf, that your little one may speedily find true peace in a Savior's blood!

You must not, unless you would displease my Master, keep back the smallest child that longs to come to Christ. Here let us observe that the principle is of *general* application—you must not hinder *any* awakened soul from seeking the Savior. O my Brothers and Sisters, I hope we have such a love for souls, such an instinct within us to desire to see the travail of Christ's soul, that instead of putting stumbling blocks in the way, we would do the best we could to gather up the stones.

On Sundays I have labored to clear up the doubts and fears which afflict coming sinners. I have entreated God the Holy Spirit to enable me so to speak that those things which hindered you from coming to the Savior might be removed. But how sad must be the case of those who delight themselves in putting stumbling blocks in men's way. The doctrine of election for instance—a great and glorious Truth of God—full of comfort to God's people! How often is that made to frighten sinners from Jesus? There is a way of preaching that with a drawn sword and say, "You must not come unless you know you are one of God's elect." That is *not* the way to preach the doctrine! The true way of preaching it is, "God has a chosen people and I hope you are one of them! Come! Lay hold on Jesus! Put your trust in Him."

Then there are others who preach up dispositions and feelings as a preparation for Christ. They do in effect say, "Unless you have felt so much depression of spirit, or experienced a certain quantity of brokenness of heart, you must not come to Christ." Instead of declaring that whoever will is permitted to come and that the true way of coming to Christ is not with a qualification of dispositions and feelings and mental depressions, but just as you are. Oh, it is my soul's delight to preach a Gospel which has an open door to it—to preach a Mercy Seat which has no veil before it!

The veil is torn in two and now the biggest sinner out of Hell who desires to come is welcome! You who are eighty years of age and have hated Christ all this time, if now the Spirit of God makes you willing to come, Christ seems to say, "Suffer the gray-headed to come unto me, and forbid them not." While to you little children He stretches out His arms in the same manner, "Suffer the little children to come unto Me." O my Beloved, see to it that your heart longs to come to Christ and not to ceremonies! I stand here this day to cry, "Come you to the *Cross*, not to the *font*." When I forget to lift up the Lord Jesus and to cast down the forms of man's devising, "let my right hand forget her cunning," and "let my tongue cleave to the roof of my mouth."—

*None but Jesus, none but Jesus,  
Can do helpless sinners good."*

The font is a mockery and an imposition if it is put before Christ. If you have Baptism after you have come to Christ, well and good. But to point you to it either as being Christ, or as being inevitably connected with Christ, or as being the place to find Christ is nothing better than to go back to the beggarly elements of the old Romish harlot instead of standing in the “liberty wherewith Christ has made us free,” and bidding the sinner to come as a sinner to Christ Jesus and to Christ Jesus alone.

III. In the third and last place let us also gather from our text that WHEN WE DISCOURAGE ANY, WE ALWAYS GO UPON WRONG GROUNDS. Here was the case of children. I suppose that the grounds upon which the Apostles kept back the children would be one of these—either that the children could not receive a blessing, or else that they could not receive it worthily.

Did they imagine that these little children could not receive the blessing? Perhaps so, for they thought them too young. Now, Brethren, that was a wrong ground to go upon for these children *could* receive the blessing and they *did* receive it, for Jesus took them in His arms and blessed them. If I keep back a child from coming to Christ on the ground that he is too young I do it in the face of facts because there have been children brought to Christ at an extremely early age. You who are acquainted with Janeway’s, “Tokens for Children,” have noticed very many beautiful instances of early conversion.

Our dear friend, Mrs. Rogers, in that book of hers, “The Folded Lamb,” gave a very sweet picture of a little son of hers, soon folded in the Savior’s bosom above, who, as early as two or three years of age rejoiced and knew the Savior. I do not doubt it at all. I cannot doubt it because one has seen such cases, that children of two or three years of age may have blossoms of knowledge and of Divine Grace—a blessing which in almost every case has betokened early death—but which has been perfectly marvelous to those who have talked with them.

The fact is that we do not all, at the same age, arrive at that degree of mental stature which is necessary for understanding the things of God. Children have been reported as reading Latin, Greek and other languages at five or six years of age. I do not know that such early scholarship is any great blessing—it is better not to reach that point so soon. But some children are all that their minds ever will be at three or four and then they go home to Heaven. And so long as the mind has been brought up to such a condition that it is capable of understanding, it is also capable of *faith* if the Holy Spirit shall implant it!

To suppose that He ever gave faith to an unconscious babe is ridiculous! That there can be any faith in a child that knows nothing whatever I must always take ground to doubt, for, “How shall they believe without a preacher?” And yet they are brought up to make a profession in their long-clothes, when they have never heard a sermon in their lives? But those dear children to whom I have before referred have understood the preacher, have understood the Truth of God, have rejoiced in the Truth and their first young lisplings have been as full of Grace as those glorious expressions of aged saints in their triumphant departures! Children are capable, then, of receiving the Grace of God.

Do mark, by the way, that all those champions who have come out against me so valiantly have made a mistake. They have said that we deny that little infants may be regenerated—we do NOT deny that God can regenerate them if He pleases! We do not know anything about what may, or may not happen to unconscious babes! But we *did* say that little children were not regenerated *by their godparents telling lies at a font*—we *did* say that, and we say it again—that little children are not regenerated, nor made members of Christ, nor children of God, nor inheritors of the kingdom of Heaven by solemn mockery, in which godfathers and godmothers promise to do for them what they cannot do for themselves, much less for their children! That is the point. And if they will please to meet it, we will answer them again. But till such time as that we shall probably let them talk on till God gives them Grace to know better.

The other ground upon which the Apostles put back the children would be that although the children might receive the blessing, *they might not be able to receive it worthily*. The Lord Jesus, in effect, assures them that so far from the way in which a little child enters into the kingdom of Heaven being exceptional, it is the *rule*. And the very way in which a child enters the kingdom is the way in which *everybody* must enter it. How does a child enter the kingdom of Heaven? Why, its faith is very simple. It does not understand mysteries and controversies, but it believes what it is told upon the authority of God’s Word and it comes to God’s Word without previous prejudice.

It has its natural sinfulness, but Grace overcomes it and the child receives the Word as it finds it. You will notice in boyish and girlish conversions a peculiar simplicity of belief—they believe just what Christ says—exactly what He says.

If they pray, they believe Christ will hear them—if they talk about Jesus, it is as of a Person near at hand. They do not, as we do, get into the making of these things into mysteries and shadows—little children have a *realizing* power. Then they have great rejoicing. The most cheerful Christians we have are young Believers. And the most cheerful old Christians are those who were converted when they were young!

Why, see the joy of a child that finds a Savior! “Mother,” he says, “I have sought Jesus Christ and I have trusted Him and I am saved.” He does not say, “I hope,” and “I trust,” but “I *am*,” and then he is ready to leap for joy because he is saved! Of the many boys and girls whom we have received into Church fellowship, I can say of them all, they have all gladdened my heart and I have never received any with greater confidence than I have these! This I have noticed about them—they have greater joy and rejoicing than any others. And I take it is because they do not ask so many questions as others do, but take Jesus Christ’s Word as they find it, and believe in it!

Well now, just the very way in which a child receives Christ is the way in which *you* must receive Christ if you would be saved. You who know so much that you know *too* much. You who have big brains—you who are always thinking and have a tendency to criticism and perhaps to skepticism—you must come and receive the Gospel as a little child. You will never get a hold of my Lord and Master while you are wearing that quizzing cap. No, you must take it off and by the power of the Holy Spirit you must come trusting Jesus, simply trusting Him, for this is the right way to receive the kingdom.

But here let me say the principle which holds good in little children holds good in all other cases as well. Take for instance the case of very great sinners—men who have been gross offenders against the laws of their country. Some would say they cannot be saved. They can—for some of them have been! Others would say they never receive the Truth of God as it is in Jesus in the right manner. Yes, they do. How do great sinners receive Christ? There are some here who have been reclaimed from drunkenness and I know not what else. My Brethren, how did you receive Christ? Why in this way—You said, “All unholy, all unclean, I am nothing else but sin. But if I am saved, it will be Grace, Grace, Grace.”

Why, when you and I stood up black and foul and filthy and yet dared to believe in Christ, we said, “If we are saved, we shall be prodigies of Divine mercy and we will sing of His love forever!” My dear Friends, you must all receive Jesus Christ in that very way! That which would raise an objection to the salvation of the big sinner is thrown back upon you, for Christ might well say, “Except you receive these things as the chief of sinners, you cannot enter the kingdom.” I will prove my point by the instance of the Apostle Paul.

He has been held by some to be an exception to the rule, but Paul did not think so, for he says that God in him showed forth all long-suffering for a pattern to them that believe and made him, as it were, a type of all conversions. So that instead of being an exception, his was to be the rule. You see what I am driving at. The case of the children looks exceptional, but it is not. It has, on the contrary, all the features about it which must be found in every true conversion. It is of such that the kingdom of Heaven is composed and if we are not such we cannot enter it. Let this induce all of us who love the Lord to pray for the conversion both of children and of all sorts of men.

Let our compassion expand. Let us shut out none from the plea of our heart! In prayer and in faith let us bring all who come under our range, hoping and believing that some of them will be found in the election of Grace—that some of them will be washed in the Savior’s blood and that some of them will shine as stars in the firmament of God forever! Let us, on no consideration, believe that the salvation of any man or child is beyond the range of possibility for the Lord saves whom He wills. Let no difficulties which seem to surround the case hinder our efforts. Let us, on the contrary, push forward with greater eagerness believing that where there seems to be some special difficulty there will be manifested, as in the children’s case, some special privilege!

O labor for souls, my dear Friends! I beseech you live to win souls! This is the best rampart against error—a rampart built of *living* stones—converted men and women. This is the way to push back the advances of Popery, by imploring the Lord to work conversions. I do not think that mere controversial preaching will do much, though it must be used. It is Grace-work we want. It is bringing you to Christ! It is getting you to lay hold of Him—it is this which shall put the devil to a nonplus and expand the kingdom of Christ! O that my God would bring some of you to Jesus! If He is displeased with those who would keep you back then see how willing He is to receive you!

Is there in your soul any desire towards Him? Come and welcome, Sinner, come! Do you feel now that you must have Christ or die? Come and have Him! He is to be had for the asking. Has the Lord taught you your need of Jesus? You

thirsty ones, come and drink! You hungry ones, come and eat! Yes, this is the proclamation of the Gospel today, “The Spirit and the bride say, Come! And let him that hears say, Come! And let him that is athirst come! And whoever will, let him take the Water of Life freely.”

I do trust there may be encouragement in this to some of you. I pray my Master make you feel it. If He is angry with those who keep you back, then He must be willing to receive you, *glad* to receive you! And if you come to Him He will in nowise cast you out! May the Lord add His blessing on these words for Jesus’ sake. Amen.

Adapted from *The C.H. Spurgeon Collection*, Version 1.0, Ages Software, 1.800.297.4307